## Markov Chains and Stationary Distributions

## David Mandel

February 4, 2016

A collection of facts to show that any initial distribution will converge to a stationary distribution for irreducible, aperiodic, homogeneous Markov chains with a full set of linearly independent eigenvectors.

**Definition** Let A be an  $n \times n$  square matrix. A is *irreducible* if for every pair of indices i, j = 1, ..., n there exists an  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $(A^m)_{ij} \neq 0$ .

**Remark** In the context of Markov chains, a Markov chain is said to be irreducible if the associated transition matrix is irreducible. Also in this context, a Markov chain is called irreducible if all its states *communicate*, which means exactly the definition for irreducible.

**Definition** Let A be a non-negative  $n \times n$  square matrix. The period of index i, i = 1, ..., n, is the GCD of all  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $(A^m)_{ii} > 0$ .

**Remark** If A is irreducible the period of each index is the same; hence we may speak of the *period of* A in such a case.

**Remark** If the period of A is 1, then A is called *aperiodic*.

**Theorem 0.1** (Perron-Frobenius) Let A be an irreducible, non-negative  $n \times n$  matrix with period  $\alpha$  and spectral radius  $\rho(A) = r$ . Then

- 1. The number r is a unique eigenvalue of A (it is a simple root of the characteristic equation of A).
- 2. A has a left eigenvector z with associated eigenvalue r, and z has all positive entries.
- 3. A has exactly  $\alpha$  complex eigenvalues with modulus r and each is a simple root of the characteristic polynomial of A.

Proposition 0.2 A row-stochastic square matrix has a largest eigenvalue of one.

**Proof** Let A be an  $n \times n$  row-stochastic matrix; i.e.,  $\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{ij} = 1$  for all i = 1, ..., n. Since

 $A\mathbf{1} = \mathbf{1},$ 

the vector  $\mathbf{1} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is a right eigenvector with eigenvalue 1. Hence 1 is an eigenvalue of A. To see this is also the largest eigenvalue, let  $z \in \mathbb{C}^n$  be an eigenvector of A with associated eigenvalue  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ . That is,

$$Az = \lambda z.$$

Now let k be such that  $|z_i| \leq |z_k|$  for all i = 1, ..., n. The kth entry of the equation above is

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{kj} \, z_j = \lambda z_k.$$

Hence

$$|\lambda z_k| = |\lambda| \cdot |z_k| = \left| \sum_{j=1}^n a_{kj} \, z_j \right| \le \sum_{j=1}^n a_{kj} \, |z_j| \le \sum_{j=1}^n a_{kj} \, |z_k| = |z_k|.$$

Therefore  $|\lambda| \leq 1$ .

**Remark** If  $\Pi$  is the transition matrix for a Markov chain then  $\Pi$  is row-stochastic, hence it has a largest right eigenvalue of 1. If  $\Pi$  is irreducible and aperiodic, then by P-F theorem the eigenvalue of 1 is unique and all other eigenvalues have moduli strictly less than 1.

**Proposition 0.3** The right eigenvectors of  $A^T$  are the (transpose of the) left eigenvectors of A, and the corresponding eigenvalues are the same.

**Proof** Let  $(\lambda, z)$  be an eigenpair of  $A^T$ . That is,  $A^T z = \lambda z$ . Then  $z^T A = \lambda z^T$ . So  $(\lambda, z^T)$  is a left eigenpair of A.

**Proposition 0.4** A matrix and its transpose have the same set of eigenvalues.

**Proof** Let A be a square matrix and note  $(A - \lambda I)^T = A^T - \lambda I$  since the identity matrix I is symmetric. Thus since  $det(B) = det(B^T)$  for any square matrix B,

$$det(A - \lambda I) = det((A - \lambda I)^T) = det(A^T - \lambda I),$$

hence A and  $A^T$  have the same characteristic polynomials and therefore the same set of eigenvalues.

**Remark** The two propositions above mean that we can inspect the eigenvalues of a transition matrix  $\Pi$  and these will be the same as the left eigenvalues of  $\Pi$ . Furthermore, we may compute the eigenvectors for  $\Pi^T$  and those will be the left eigenvectors of  $\Pi$ .

**Remark** If  $\Pi$  is the transition matrix for a Markov chain then  $\Pi$  and  $\Pi^T$  have the same set of eigenvalues. We mentioned above that  $\Pi$  has a largest eigenvalue of 1, and hence  $\Pi^T$  has a largest eigenvalue of 1 as well. That is, there is an eigenvector  $z \in \mathbb{R}^n$  such that  $\Pi^T z = z$ , which is true iff

$$z^T \Pi = z^T$$
.

If  $\Pi$  is irreducible then z has strictly positive entries by P-F theorem. Since we can normalize z so the entries sum to 1, we know that any irreducible Markov chain has a stationary distribution.

If  $\Pi$  is irreducible and aperiodic then this stationary distribution is unique, by P-F theorem. More specifically, all other eigenvalues of  $\Pi$  are strictly less than 1 in modulus, so there is only one eigenvector z such that  $z^T \Pi = z^T$ , where  $z^T$  is the unique stationary distribution. Thus we state the following important result.

**Theorem 0.5** An irreducible, aperiodic, homogeneous Markov chain on a finite state space has a unique stationary distribution. Furthermore, if  $\Pi$  is diagonalizable, i.e.,  $\Pi$  has n linearly independent eigenvectors, then the marginal distribution will converge to this unique stationary distribution as time tends to infinity regardless of the initial distribution.

Before we prove this, note the following lemmas.

**Lemma 0.6** Let A be an  $n \times n$  matrix. Then A is diagonalizable iff A has n linearly independent eigenvectors.

In fact,  $A = PDP^{-1}$  with D a diagonal matrix iff the columns of P are n linearly independent eigenvectors of A, and in this case the diagonal elements of D are the eigenvalues of A that correspond, respectively, to the eigenvectors in P.

**Proof** Let P be any  $n \times n$  matrix with columns  $z_1, \ldots, z_n$  and let D be an  $n \times n$  diagonal matrix with diagonal entries  $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n$ . Then

$$AP = A \begin{bmatrix} z_1 & z_2 & \cdots & z_n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} Az_1 & Az_2 & \cdots & Az_n \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$PD = P \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & \lambda_n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 z_1 & \lambda_2 z_2 & \cdots & \lambda_n z_n \end{bmatrix}$$

Assume A is diagonalizable and  $A = PDP^{-1}$ . Then AP = PD, which from above gives

$$\begin{bmatrix} Az_1 & Az_2 & \cdots & Az_n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 z_1 & \lambda_2 z_2 & \cdots & \lambda_n z_n \end{bmatrix},$$

or

$$Az_1 = \lambda_1 z_1, Az_2 = \lambda_2 z_2, \dots, Az_n = \lambda_n z_n.$$

Since P is invertible its columns are linearly independent. Since these columns are nonzero (otherwise they wouldn't be linearly independent), the above relations show that  $(\lambda_i, z_i)$  are eigenpairs for i = 1, ..., n. So, a diagonalizable matrix has n linearly independent eigenvectors, where the columns of P are these eigenvectors and the diagonal of D are the eigenvalues.

Now assume A has n linearly independent eigenvectors  $z_1, \ldots, z_n$  with eigenvalues  $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n$ . Construct a matrix  $P = \begin{bmatrix} z_1 & z_2 & \cdots & z_n \end{bmatrix}$  and a diagonal matrix  $D = diag(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n)$ . Then from above we see that AP = PD, which is true without the eigenvectors being linearly independent. Since the eigenvectors are linearly independent, P is invertible and so  $A = PDP^{-1}$ .

## **Lemma 0.7** If A has n linearly independent eigenvectors, then so does $A^T$ .

**Proof** Since A has n linearly independent eigenvectors, A may be diagonalized as  $A = PDP^{-1}$ , where the columns of P are the linearly independent eigenvectors of A and the diagonal elements of D are the eigenvalues of A. Then  $A^T = (P^{-1})^T DP^T$ . By the above lemma, the columns of  $(P^{-1})^T$  are the n linearly independent eigenvectors of  $A^T$ .

**Remark** The decomposition  $A^T = (P^{-1})^T D P^T$  is another was of showing A and  $A_T$  have the same set of eigenvalues, but relies on the fact that A has a full set of linearly independent eigenvectors.

**Proof** We have already argued the existence of a unique stationary distribution. For the convergence, note if  $\Pi$  has *n* linearly independent eigenvectors  $z_1, \ldots, z_n$  then any element of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  may be written as a linear combination of eigenvectors. In particular, any initial probability mass function  $q_0$  may be written

$$q_0 = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i z_i,$$

where  $c_i \in \mathbb{R}$ , i = 1, ..., n. The pmf at time t = k is given by

$$q_k = \Pi q_{k-1}.$$

Note we can write  $q_k$  as

$$q_{k} = \Pi q_{k-1}$$

$$= \Pi^{k} q_{0}$$

$$= \Pi^{k} \sum_{i=1}^{m} c_{i} z_{i}$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{m} c_{i} \Pi^{k} z_{i}$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{m} c_{i} \lambda_{i}^{k} z_{i}.$$

Since  $\Pi$  is irreducible and aperiodic there is only one eigenvalue, say  $\lambda_1$ , with modulus 1, and all other have modulus strictly less than one. Hence

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} q_k = \sum_{i=1}^m c_i \lambda_i^k z_i = c_1 z_1.$$

Thus  $q := c_1 z_1$  is the unique stationary distribution that any initial distribution converges to. In particular since q is a pmf, the scaling factor  $c_1$  is simply the normalizing constant making the sum of the entries in  $z_1$  to be 1.